BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Proposed Policies and Programs Governing post-2003 Low-Income Assistance Programs. | R. 04-01-006 |
|---|--|
| And Related Matters: | A.05-06-005 A.05-06-009 A.05-06-012 A.05-06-013 |
| | |

OPENING COMMENTS OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY ON THE DRAFT DECISION APPROVING 2006-2007 LOW INCOME PROGRAMS AND FUNDING FOR THE LARGER UTILITIES AND APPROVING NEW LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM MEASURES FOR 2006

GEORGETTA BAKER Attorney for San Diego Gas & Electric Company And Southern California Gas Company 101 Ash Street, HQ13 San Diego, CA. 92101 Telephone: 619.699.5064

Facsimile: 619.699.5027 E-Mail: gbaker@sempra.com

December 5, 2005

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Proposed Policies and Programs Governing post-2003 Low-Income Assistance Programs. | R. 04-01-006 |
|---|--|
| And Related Matters: | A.05-06-005 A.05-06-009 A.05-06-012 A.05-06-013 |

OPENING COMMENTS OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY ON THE DRAFT DECISION APPROVING 2006-2007 LOW INCOME PROGRAMS AND FUNDING FOR THE LARGER UTILITIES AND APPROVING NEW LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM MEASURES FOR 2006

I. Introduction

In accordance with Rules 77.2 through 77.4 of the California Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, San Diego Gas and Electric Company ("SDG&E) and Southern California Gas Company ("SoCalGas") hereby file their Joint Comments on the Draft Decision of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Weissman in the above-captioned matter ("DD").

The DD approves SDG&E's and SoCalGas' requested 2006 and 2007 Low Income Energy Efficiency ("LIEE"), California Alternate Rates for Energy ("CARE") programs, overall funding levels and requested use of the 2001 Statewide LIEE Impact Evaluation Study for computing the impacts of the 2006 and 2007 LIEE programs. The DD also authorizes utilities to carry over unspent LIEE program funds for use in subsequent years and to shift funds among CARE administrative cost categories. Finally, the DD establishes the goal of aligning future LIEE and Energy Efficiency program planning cycles.

Overall, SDG&E and SoCalGas support the DD and are appreciative of the Commission's efforts to address the 2006 and 2007 Applications by year-end. SDG&E and SoCalGas urge the Commission to act on this DD at its December 15, 2005 business meeting to allow the low income programs to continue in 2006 without disruption. SDG&E and SoCalGas also applaud aligning the LIEE and EE program planning cycles.

The Low Income Oversight Board ("LIOB") held a meeting on December 2, 2005, at which the DD was discussed and input received from the LIOB and interested parties. SDG&E and SoCalGas have not addressed the issues raised by the LIOB or interested parties in these comments. If the input received at the December 2nd meeting will be considered in the Commission's deliberations and issuance of a decision on the utilities' low-income applications, SDG&E and SoCalGas suggest that it would be appropriate for a comment period on input received at the LIOB meeting to be permitted.

Notwithstanding the general support of the DD, however, SDG&E and SoCalGas request the following modifications/clarifications for the reasons discussed below in Section II:

- Revise Table 1 to correctly reflect SDG&E's and SoCalGas' proposed and authorized 2006 CARE budgets and revise Table 2 to correctly reflect SoCalGas' proposed and authorized 2006 LIEE budget.
- Adopt SDG&E's 2006 budget reallocation which is being submitted herein to comply with Ordering Paragraph ("OP") 14 of the DD.
- Approve the Standardization Team's ("Team") Recommended Policy and Procedures (P&P) and Weatherization Installation Standards (WIS) sections relating to central air conditioners, duct testing and sealing, and Title 24 Building Standards, authorized in this Decision as new measures for 2006 to prevent delay in implementing the new measures adopted by the DD. Alternatively, approve those sections on an interim basis pending the outcome of the LIOB's review and the Commission's final ruling on the Team's recommendations.
- Clarify that the County of San Diego's Cool Zone Program ("County's Cool Zone Program"), which SDG&E consistently supports, is different from Southern California Edison Company's ("SCE") Cool Zone Program and because the County's Cool Zone Program is an ongoing program, there is no need to revive it.

II. Requested Corrections and Clarifications of the DD

A. Tables 1 and 2 Should Be Revised to Correct Errors in SDG&E's and SoCalGas' Proposed CARE and LIEE Budgets

SDG&E and SoCalGas have identified some errors contained in both Table 1 and Table 2 of the DD outlining their proposed budgets and summarizing the authorized 2006 and 2007 budgets. For example, Table 1 (at 2 and OP 2 at 37) reflects SDG&E's and SoCalGas'

2005 planned CARE budgets rather than the 2006 Proposed Budgets contained in Attachment B-1 of the Testimony of Carmen Rudshagen. Therefore, SDG&E and SoCalGas request the Commission to correct Table 1 in the final Decision in this matter as follows:

| CORRECTED TABLE 1 | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2006 AUTHORIZED CARE BUDGETS | | | | |
| | PG&E | SCE | SoCalGas | SDG&E |
| Outreach | \$4,837,000 | \$1,633,000 | \$1,949,750 | \$1,187,014 |
| | | | \$2,177,495 | \$1,319,473 |
| Automatic Enrollment | 150,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 | 21,200 |
| | | | 10,000 | 13,136 |
| Cool Centers | | 95,000 | | |
| Proc., Certification & Verification | 1,600,000 | 600,000 | 925,334 | 227,269 |
| | | | 990,223 | 258,168 |
| Bill System / Programming | 150,000 | 557,000 | 265,045 | 72,800 |
| | | | 301,218 | 335,050 |
| Measurement & Evaluation | 150,000 | 58,000 | 393,560 | 235,700 |
| | | | 5,000 | 3,000 |
| Regulatory Compliance | 170,000 | 50,000 | 163,306 | 123,872 |
| | | | 189,289 | 154.553 |
| General Administration | 300,000 | 1,063,000 | 2 97,315 | 177,314 |
| | | | 351,024 | 210,638 |
| CPUC Energy Division | 100,000 | 82,000 | 83,000 | 50,000 |
| <u>-</u> | | | | 52,500 |
| Total CARE Expenses | \$7,457,000 | \$4,199,000 | \$4,108,310 | \$2,346,518 |
| | | | \$4,107,249 | |
| Subsidies & Benefits | \$324,612,000 | \$168,100,000 | 75,315,876 | 32,907,285 |
| | | | \$95,036,000 | \$34,499,414 |
| Total Program Costs and Discounts | \$332,069,000 | \$172,299,000 | \$79,424,186 | \$35,253,854 |
| | | | \$99,143,249 | \$36,845,932 |

In addition, Table 2 of the DD (at 3 and OP 2 at 38) erroneously reflects SoCalGas' 2005 planned budget instead of the 2006 Proposed LIEE Budget presented in Attachment A-1 to the Testimony of Gregg Lawless. SoCalGas respectfully requests the Commission to revise Table 2 as follows to accurately reflect SoCalGas' 2006 authorized LIEE budget in the final Decision in this matter.

¹ See Application (A.) 05-06-012 and A. 05-06-013.

CORRECTED TABLE 2 2006 AUTHORIZED LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM BUDGETS

| TOTAL AUTHORIZED LIEE BUDGET | \$56,530,000 | \$27,400,000 | \$33,324,875 | \$13,368,093 |
|--|---------------------|----------------|--|-------------------------|
| Oversight Costs – CPUC Energy Division | \$35,000 | \$70,000 | \$36,000 \$40,000 | \$21,000 |
| Other Program Activities Total | - | \$2,627,885 | \$3,698,561 \$5,068,784 | \$1,661,011 |
| Other Administration | 7,904,000 | \$1,772,885 | 1,669,642 2,554,977 | 750,972 |
| | · | | 267,298 | - |
| Regulatory Compliance | 521,000 | 70,000 | 113,030 230,000 | 281,043 |
| Measurement & Evaluation (M&E) | 540,000 | 195,000 | 60,000 | 62,250 |
| Advertising | | 15,000 | 281,000 156,000 | 404,914 |
| Inspections | \$3,500,000 | 555, 000 | 1,132,919 1,901,220 | 161,832 |
| Training Center | \$400,000 | 20,000 | 325,000 76,259 | \$0 |
| OTHER PROGRAM ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Landlord Co Pays Total | \$110,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Air Conditioner Replacement – Room Refrigerator (CoPay) | \$10,000 100,000 | | | |
| Air Conditioner Replacement – Central | | | | |
| LANDLORD CO PAYS | | | | |
| Litergy Efficiency Total | Ψ10,020,000 | WM LJI UMJA AU | \$28,216,091 | Ψ11/000/000 |
| Education Workshops Energy Efficiency Total | \$0 \$43,520,000 | \$24,702,115 | 420,000 \$29,590,314 | 132,000 \$11,686,083 |
| | # 0 | | 630,000 | 100.000 |
| In-Home Energy Education | 3,129,000 | 518,400 | 4,830,000 600,000 | 1,212,466 |
| Outreach / Assessment / Marketing | 3,129,000 | 2,817,745 | 4,600,000 4,830,000 | |
| Weatherization | 15,283,000 | 394,450 | 15,949,814 16,757,491 | 3,135,038 |
| Electric Appliances | 19,593,000 | 20,971,520 | 0 | 5,853,536 |
| Gas Appliances | \$2,386,000 | \$0 | \$ 8,020,500 \$5,578,600 | \$1,353,04 |
| ENERGY EFFICIENCY | | | | |
| | PG&E | SCE | | |

Finally, the DD disapproves SDG&E's request to allocate its 2006 and 2007 program budgets to 43% for gas measures and 57% for electric programs and directs SDG&E to reapportion funds accordingly. (DD at 21). To reflect this directive, SDG&E here provides a revised Table 2 of the DD to reflect removal of the \$900,000 from its planned 2006 LIEE budget for electric measures and its reallocation to the budget for gas measures for inclusion in the final Decision in this matter.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

 $/\!/$

REVISED TABLE 2 INCORPORATING THE REALLOCATION OF SDG&E'S LIEE PROGRAM BUDGET 2006 AUTHORIZED LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM BUDGETS

| TOTAL AUTHORIZED LIEE BUDGET | \$56,530,000 | \$27,400,000 | \$33,324,875 | \$13,368,093 |
|--|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Oversight Costs – CPUC Energy Division | \$35,000 | \$70,000 | \$36,000 \$40,000 | \$21,000 |
| | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | \$5,068,784 | \$1,660,936 |
| Other Program Activities Total | | \$2,627,885 | \$3,698,561 | \$1,661,011 |
| Onei Administration | 7,704,000 | Ψ1,272,000 | 2,554,977 | 750,897 |
| Other Administration | 7,904,000 | \$1,772,885 | 267,298 1,669,642 | 750,97 <u>2</u> |
| Regulatory Compliance | 521,000 | 70,000 | 230,000 | 281,043 |
| Measurement & Evaluation (M&E) | 540,000 | 195,000 | 113,030 | 62,250 |
| Magazzamant & Evaluation (M&E) | 540,000 | 105 000 | 156,000 60,000 | 60.050 |
| Advertising | | 15,000 | 281,000 | 404,914 |
| Inspections | \$3,500,000 | 555, 000 | 1,132,919 1,901,220 | 161,832 |
| T | ድኅ መለለ ለለለ | eëe ooo | 76,259 | \$0 |
| Training Center | \$400,000 | 20,000 | 325,000 | |
| OTHER PROGRAM ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Landlord Co Pays Total | \$110,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator (CoPay) | 100,000 | | | |
| Air Conditioner Replacement – Room | \$10,000 | | ē. | |
| Air Conditioner Replacement - Central | | | | |
| LANDLORD CO PAYS | | | | |
| | | | \$28,216,091 | \$11,686,157 |
| Energy Efficiency Total | \$43,520,000 | \$24,702,115 | \$29,590,314 | \$11,686,083 |
| Education Workshops | \$0 | | 420,000 | 132,000 |
| 0, | . , | , | 630,000 | 1,371,341 |
| In-Home Energy Education | 3,129,000 | 518,400 | 4,830,000 600,000 | 1,212,466 |
| Outreach / Assessment / Marketing | 3,129,000 | 2,817,745 | 4,600,000 4,830,000 | |
| | * 4*** 200 | | 16,757,491 | 3,630,363 |
| Weatherization | 15,283,000 | 394,450 | 15,949,814 | 3,135,038 |
| | | | • | 5,084,051 |
| Electric Appliances | 19,593,000 | 20,971,520 | 0 | 5,853,536 |
| Gas Appliances | \$2,386,000 | \$0 | \$ 8,020,500 \$5,578,600 | \$1,353,043 \$1,468,402 |
| ENERGY EFFICIENCY | #2 207 000° | ėo. | #0.000 F00 | #1 2F2 042 |
| | PG&E | SCE | SoCalGas | SDG&E |
| | | | | |

B. To Avoid a Delay in Implementing New Measures Adopted in the DD, the Commission Either Should Adopt the Team's Recommended Policy and Procedures for the New LIEE Measures or Approve Them on an Interim Basis Pending LIOB and Final Commission Action on the Team's Recommendations

The DD adopts the central air conditioner replacement and duct testing and sealing as new measures for the 2006 LIEE program as recommended in the Team's New LIEE Measure Assessment Report filed on May 2, 2005.² However the DD postpones making a determination on the Team's proposed revisions and updates to the Statewide P&P and WIS manuals which include new policies and standards for central air conditioners and duct testing and sealing as new 2006 measures. As discussed below, this inconsistent treatment creates confusion.

More specifically, the P&P and WIS manuals are necessary to provide information to program contractors on how to install the new measures, how to determine when it is feasible to install the measure, and to maintain quality assurance consistency across all program installations statewide. The Commission's adopted Statewide Policies and Procedures are incorporated by reference into the contracts with LIEE contractors and subcontractors. SDG&E and SoCalGas are currently finalizing contracts for the 2006 program year. Therefore, at a minimum, SDG&E and SoCalGas urge the Commission to reconsider and act now to adopt the Team's recommended policies and procedures for new measures and to accommodate the October 1, 2005 changes in the Title 24 building standards.³

If the Commission still wishes to receive input from the LIOB before acting on the Team's recommendations, however, SDG&E and SoCalGas request authorization to use the updated P&P and WIS manual sections that apply specifically to central air conditioners, duct sealing and testing, and Title 24 Building Standards, effective January 1, 2006 to avoid any delays in implementing the new 2006 program measures pending LIOB and Commission action on the Standardization Team's recommendations.

² Report on the Assessment of Proposed New Program Year 2006 Low Income Energy Efficiency Program Measures Proposed by the Low Income Energy Efficiency Standardization Team, filed May 2, 2005.

³ As of October 1, 2005, SDG&E and SoCalGas' LIEE Programs have been revised to comply with revised Title 24 Standards.

C. SDG&E Requests Clarification that the County's "Cool Zone" Program, which SDG&E Consistently Supports, Is Distinct from the SCE's "Cool Centers" Sites and Does Not Require Revival because It Is Ongoing

The DD directs SDG&E, along with SCE and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates ("ORA"), to work with community groups and others to develop a plan *for reviving* (emphasis added) Cool Centers for the summer of 2006. (See Conclusion of Law ("COL") 6 and OP 13).

SDG&E seeks clarification that: (1) the San Diego County's Cool Zone Program ("County's Cool Zone Program"), which SDG&E has supported for several years, is different from SCE's self-sponsored Cool Center program and (2) no revival is required because the County's Cool Zone Program is a continuing program. More particularly, the County's Cool Zone Program operated in 2005 and, therefore, does not need to be revived. SDG&E supported the County's Program in 2005, but with non- program funds. Consistent with D. 05-04-052, SDG&E has completed an evaluation of the 2005 County's Cool Zone Program and will file an Advice Letter proposing the extent of SDG&E's support for the County's Cool Zone Program in 2006 based on this evaluation.

Accordingly, while SDG&E is willing to enter into discussions with all interested parties on how the County's Cool Zone Program works, SDG&E requests clarification for the foregoing reasons and removal of references to SDG&E in COL 13 and OP 6.

III. Conclusion

Consistent with the foregoing discussion, SDG&E and SoCalGas respectfully urge that the DD be modified to:

- 1. Revise Table 1 to correctly reflect SDG&E's and SoCalGas' proposed and authorized 2006 CARE budgets and revise Table 2 to correctly reflect SoCalGas' proposed and authorized 2006 LIEE budget.
- 2. Adopt SDG&E's 2006 budget reallocation which is being submitted herein to comply with OP 14 of the DD.
- 3. Approve the Standardization Team's recommended Policy and Procedures for the sections that apply specifically to central air conditioners, duct sealing and testing, and Title 24 Building Standards that this Decision authorizes to avoid delaying

implementation of the new measures. Alternatively, approve the relevant sections on an interim basis pending the outcome of the LIOB's review and the Commission's final ruling on the Standardization Team's recommendations.

4. Revise COL 6 and OP13 to delete references to SDG&E to clarify that the County's "Cool Zone" Program, which SDG&E consistently supports, is distinguishable from SCE's "Cool Center" Program and because it is a continuing program, does not need to be revived.

Respectfully submitted,

Georgetta J. Baker

Attorney for:

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

And

Southern California Gas Company

101 Ash Street

San Diego, California 92101

December 5, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true and correct copy of OPENING COMMENTS OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY ON THE DRAFT DECISION APPROVING 2006-2007 LOW INCOME PROGRAMS AND FUNDING FOR THE LARGER UTILITIES AND APPROVING NEW LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM MEASURES FOR 2006 to each party of record on the service list in R.04-01-006 via electronic mail. Those parties without an email address were served by placing copies in properly addressed and sealed envelopes and depositing such envelopes in the United States Mail with first-class postage prepaid.

Executed this 5th day of December, 2005 at San Diego, California.

Doris K. Reed